

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/076,100	STANGL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tim Heitbrink	1722	

All Participants:

(1) Tim Heitbrink.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: ____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2004
Time: ____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
New matter of Figs. 3-6.
Claims discussed:

8

Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. ~~The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.~~ *See above.*
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Massie agreed to the cancelation of Figs. 5 and 6 and to replace Figs. 3 and 4 as originally filed to avoid the new matter as shown by the drawings. The Examiner agreed with Mr. Massie's suggestion to the amendment to claim 8 as found in the Examiner's Amendment where the deleted part of the claim was felt to be merely functional.